

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

James Edward Thomas,)	Civil Action No. 4:15-3061-PMD-TER
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting)	
Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant)	

The Defendant, Carolyn W. Colvin, Commissioner of Social Security, has moved this Court, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), to enter a judgment with an order of reversal with remand of the cause to the Commissioner for purposes of conducting a *de novo* hearing.

On remand, the Appeals Council will instruct an Administrative Law Judge to further evaluate: (1) Plaintiff's impairments at step two and provide a supporting explanation with citation to the evidence; (2) the opinions of the state agency physicians; (3) Plaintiff's maximum residual functional capacity; (4) whether Plaintiff can return to his past relevant work; and if not, (5) whether he can make a vocational adjustment to a significant number of jobs existing in the national economy.

Pursuant to the power of this Court to enter a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the Commissioner's decision with remand in Social Security actions under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and in light of the Commissioner's request for remand of this action for further proceedings, this Court hereby

REVERSES the Commissioner's decision under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) with a **REMAND** of the cause to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings.¹ *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292 (1993).

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Thomas E. Rogers, III
Thomas E. Rogers
United States Magistrate Judge

April 20, 2016

Florence, South Carolina

¹The clerk of the Court will enter a separate judgment pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 58.